



## IOWA COUNTY OFFICE OF PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

Courthouse - 222 N. Iowa St. - Dodgeville, WI 53533  
Telephone: (608) 935-0398 Fax: (608) 930-1205 Mobile: (608) 553-7575  
e-mail: [scott.godfrey@iowacounty.org](mailto:scott.godfrey@iowacounty.org)

Pursuant to Section 19.84, Wisconsin Statutes, notice is hereby given that the Iowa County Zoning, Planning, Sanitation and Rural Planning Commission will hold public hearings and a meeting on **Wed, Jan. 17, 2007 at 6:00PM**, or as soon thereafter as possible, in the **County Board Room** of the Iowa County Courthouse in the City of Dodgeville, Wisconsin. For information regarding access for the disabled, please call 935-0399.

### Minutes

Approved Jan. 24, 2007

1. Call to order. Ehr called the meeting to order at 6:00pm
2. Roll Call. Godfrey read the roll.  
Committee Present: Bill Ehr; Diane McGuire; Joe Thomas; Dwayne Hiltbrand; Bob Bunker;  
Committee Absent: none  
Staff Present: Scott A. Godfrey  
Others Present: Tony Pillow; Tom Mueller; Bob Thomas; Mark Pinch; Rich Strutt; Paula Dail; Dave Lucey; Charlie Price; John Hess; Dean Putz; William McFall; Robert Murphy; 3 others; Robert Zinck; John Lawinger
3. Certification of notice for this meeting. Godfrey stated this meeting has been posted and noticed as required by law.  
  
Motion to accept by McGuire  
Second by Bunker  
Motion carries unanimously
4. Review and approval of the Dec. 20, 2006 minutes  
  
Motion to approve by Bunker  
Second by Thomas  
Motion carries unanimously
5. Approval of agenda  
  
Motion to approve by Thomas with moving #7 after #9  
Second by McGuire  
Motion carries unanimously

6. Consideration of address sign specifications and replacement strategies relating to the county's address & road naming ordinance

Godfrey stated that the following issues have to be resolved yet regarding the Iowa County Address and Road Naming Ordinance:

1. address sign specifications
2. strategies to replace existing signs with the new signs

Discussion on sign specs:

- Ask for warranty with quote
- ask for ability to "lock in" price for period of time with quotes
- replacement may cause relocations...workload could be significant; Diggers Hotline
- add town names to sign
- shared drives with multiple signs best to have one sign at road with range of numbers
- requiring replacement of signs is an unfunded mandate
- wider post than used now
- use burred fasteners to discourage removal
- color: blue vs red – 5 to 1 votes

Discussion on sign replacement:

- positive public info campaign should assist in acceptance of cost...explain why and importance
- towns can assist in communication
- technology may render signs insignificant for emergency services via GPS
- Dodgeville Town prefers a phased in approach to minimize workload costs to the town who will be installing...suggests using new sign for new/replacement and a 3-5 year period for completion
- Do all at once
- Can distribute at town recycle/dump sites with installation instructions for property owner to install; follow-up by town for proper installation
- County set deadline for replacement and allow towns to decide rate of replacement
- How to pay? How to find upfront funds to purchase and get reimbursement?

Consensus of group:

- Not include town name on sign
- Double sided of approximate shape of example presented (about 22" by 8")
- Colors: tied on blue vs red
- County front the money with reimbursement from the towns
- Timeframe: one year, if possible
- Shared drives: single sign at road with range and individual signs where drives branch; county may front cost if replacement needed

7. Consideration of administering the provisions of ATCP 51 state livestock siting standards

Godfrey and Pillow summarized the law standards stating the purpose of this meeting is to try to determine if it would be enough of public benefit to enforce these standards.

After much discussion, Ehr offered to host a meeting with a DATCP person if the Towns Association would be interested.

8. Update on the 18/151 Corridor Work Group progress

Godfrey said the Work Group has met five times since August 2006 and have accomplished the following:

- Gained a better understanding of how development patterns can impact transportation infrastructure
- Explored techniques for determining potential traffic impacts of proposed development
- Reviewed existing impact analysis procedures of other communities
- Gained a better understanding of the WisDOT 18/151 Corridor Plan and how it relates to local land use decision making
- Identified the need for further discussion with the WisDOT on its plan details
- Agreed to the concept of a county impact analysis tool being developed instead of individual local tools

9. Consideration of extending the Northern Environmental contract regarding nonmetallic mining administration

Godfrey presented the proposed Northern Environmental contract to continue its services as the administrator of the NR135 Nonmetallic Mining program. The contract specifies that the program fees collected by Northern Environmental will be drawn against at an hourly rate as services are needed.

Thomas abstains as he is has a permitted quarry on his property.

Bunker moves to approve the contract

McGuire seconds

Motion carries unanimously with Thomas abstaining

10. Review and action on expenditures/vouchers

Motion to approve by McGuire

Second by Bunker

Motion carries unanimously

11. Next meeting and agenda.

No discussion

12. Adjournment

Motion to adjourn by Bunker

Second by McGuire

Motion carries unanimously. Adjourned at 7:52pm

Scott A. Godfrey

Director